

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 17, 2002 LB 824

SENATOR BRASHEAR: If the prosecutor charges it and the trier of fact finds the individual guilty, yes, they would be guilty.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And under the language of this bill that charge can be brought, can't it, under those circumstances?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Yes, it can.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I just want people to see what can be done under this bill. And there are other things in the bill, but I don't want to touch on all of them because I don't want you to change them. This bill was drafted because, and the way it is in the green copy, basically because a bill of this kind was brought before the Judiciary Committee and the Attorney General presented it. I ripped up the bill and ripped him up and he could not answer questions. So what they did was to go through the transcript of the debate of the man who isn't there and found all the flaws that I pointed out in that legislation they brought...brought the first time and tried to work their way around it by redrafting. Now, there's nothing wrong with that, people should learn from mistakes, but they compounded some of the errors because the interest is not in fashioning a sound law, but rather in making a theological or religious declaration. There is a principle that the Catholic Church wants to get into the law. Jim Cunningham is the one who was quoted in the newspaper this morning. He is the one who said that Senator Foley's version is the one that they want. Regardless of the fact that the term "person" has been dropped from Senator Foley's amendment, everything else leads to that point, that a fetus is given rights in its own right. We're talking about the fetus, not the woman. Even when the horrific case that took place in western Nebraska is mentioned, the emphasis is on the fetus, not the woman.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: In fact, when it was found out that she had an alcohol problem some people's attitude changed toward her, maybe she got what she deserved, which I think is reprehensible. And if you paid attention to comments in the paper you'd see a